

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Rhonda Underwood, (202) 314-6065.

Dated: November 8, 2000.

Rhonda Underwood,

Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 00-29035 Filed 11-8-00; 11:51 am]

BILLING CODE 7533-01-M

PRESIDIO TRUST

The Presidio of San Francisco, California; Extension of the Public Comment Period for the Presidio Trust Implementation Plan Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement

AGENCY: The Presidio Trust.

ACTION: The Presidio Trust (Trust) is extending the scoping period from December 8, 2000 to January 15, 2001 to ensure adequate time for the public to review and comment on the proposed alternatives to be evaluated in the Presidio Trust Implementation Plan (PTIP) Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). The PTIP EIS is a supplement to the 1994 Final General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) EIS for The Presidio of San Francisco (Presidio).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On June 30, 2000 the Trust published a notice announcing its intention to prepare a Supplemental EIS for PTIP and to hold two public scoping meetings to determine the scope of impact topics and alternatives to be addressed in the Supplemental EIS (65 FR 40707-08). On October 11, 2000 the Trust published a notice amending its June 30, 2000 notice to add a third public scoping meeting on November 15, 2000, to make factual corrections and to extend the previously announced scoping period from November 15, 2000 to December 8, 2000 (65 FR 60477-60478). The November 15, 2000 workshop will present conceptual alternatives to be addressed in the EIS and visions for the Presidio's future. The extension of the scoping period from December 8, 2000 to January 15, 2000 is being provided in response to several requests from commenting organizations and members of the public.

Public Meeting: The Trust will solicit public comments on the conceptual alternatives proposed for analysis in the EIS at the third of three public scoping workshops on Wednesday, November 15, 2000 from 6 to 9 p.m. at the Log Cabin (Building 1299), Fort Scott at the Presidio.

ADDRESSES: Written comments concerning the content of the plan and the scope of the Supplemental EIS should be sent by January 15, 2000 to

John Pelka, NEPA Compliance Coordinator, the Presidio Trust, 34 Graham Street, P.O. Box 29052, San Francisco, CA 94129-0052. Fax: 415/561-5315. E-mail: ptip@presidiotrust.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION: Contact John Pelka, NEPA Compliance Coordinator, the Presidio Trust, 34 Graham Street, P.O. Box 29052, San Francisco, CA 94129-0052. Telephone: 415-561-5300.

Dated: November 6, 2000.

Karen A. Cook,

General Counsel.

[FR Doc. 00-28901 Filed 11-9-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-4R-U

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-43506; File No. SR-BSE-00-12]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Notice of Filing of Proposed Rule Change by the Boston Stock Exchange, Inc. Relating to the Time Period for Filing Claims Against Specialists

November 1, 2000.

Pursuant to section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"),¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on September 21, 2000, the Boston Stock Exchange, Inc. ("Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II and III below, which items have been prepared by the Exchange. On October 3, 2000, the Exchange filed Amendment No. 1 to the proposed rule change.³ The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange seeks to amend its Rule regarding claims against specialists to bring the time period for filing claims into parity with T+3 settlement. Below is the text of the proposed rule change. Proposed new language is *italicized* and proposed deletions are in [brackets].

* * * * *

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

³ In Amendment No. 1, the Exchange made certain technical changes relating, *inter alia*, to the format of the filing, the date of effectiveness of the proposed rule change, and the authorization procedures of the Exchange. See Amendment No. 1, filed October 3, 2000.

Chapter XV; Claims and Reports Against Specialists

Sec. 14. All claims and reports against specialists must be made in a timely fashion as indicated below:

(a) All claims which involve erroneous comparisons must be made within [5] 3 business days of the original trade date.

(b) All claims relative to the omission of a report which was properly due must be made within [5] 3 business days of the date the order should have been executed.

(c) All claims relative to the lack of comparison of a reported transaction must be made within 3 business days of the original trade date.

* * * * *

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The self-regulatory organization has prepared summaries, set forth in Sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to amend Chapter XV, Section 14, *Claims and Reports against Specialists*, to bring the rule into parity with T+3 settlement. Currently, the rule has a five-day period within which claims can be brought against a specialist. At the time the rule was originally drafted, the settlement period for trades was five days, and the period within which claims could be filed corresponded to this period. With the reduction of the trade settlement period to three days, the Exchange is seeking an equivalent three-day time period for the filing of claims against specialists.

2. Statutory Basis

The statutory basis for the proposed rule change is section 6(b)(5) of the Act,⁴ in that it is designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in regulating securities

⁴ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).